INTRODUCTION (Revelation 1:1)

I.	Back	Background						
	A.	identifies himself as "" (1:1, 4, 9; 22:8)						
		1. Probably not " the" from Ephesus (Papias)						
		2. Probably not (Dionysius of Alexandria)						
		3. Probably the (Justin, Irenaeus, Tertullian, etc.)						
	В.	of writing was from the of						
		Patmos (1:9)						
		1. Exiled for " of God and the of Jesus"						
		2. Released after the of Domitian in AD (Eusebius)						
		3. Lived in until the reign of (Irenaeus)						
	C.	of writing circa AD or						
II.	Inspiration and Canonicity							
	A.	Claims to be from God (1:1-3, 10-11, 19; 22:19						
	В.	Widely and by mid Century						
		1. Mentioned by many						
		2. Tertullian quotes 18 of 22 chapters as ""						
	C.	Met with during the Century from Alexandrians who rejected doctrine of the						
	D.	Considered "" by Luther, Zwingli, and Erasmus						
	E.	by and later Reformers						

	F.	Study assumes inspiration and canonicity are						
III.	Interp	nterpretation						
	A.	Allegorical, or(Clement of Alexandria, Ori				dria, Origen, Augustin)		
		1.	Interpr	ets as	of the	between		
				and				
		2.	Intend	ed to reinterpret Christ's	r	eign on		
	В.	Prete	erist (Jesuit named Alcasar)					
		1.	Interpr	ets as symbolic	, not			
		2.	Consid	lers all symbols as	the real mear	ning from		
		3.	Says cl	ns 20-22 depict		of the church		
	C.	Histo	Historical (Catholic scholar, Joachim)					
		1.	Interpr	ets as symbolic	of	history		
		2.	Interpr	eters see history's	as			
	*D.	Futu	Futurist (Contemporary conservatives, usually					
		1.	Interpr	ets chs 1-3 as	, 1 st century			
		2.	Interpr	ets chs 4-22 as				
			a.	Chs 4-18 as the	just pre	ceding		
				, with				
			b.	Ch 19 as describing Chr	ist's	to		
			c.	Ch 20 as describing the				
			d.	Chs 21-22 as either	or			
		3.	Recogi	nizes	. but allows for			